

ì

## Proposed Rezoning of 5 Pine Avenue, Earlwood

Proposal Title :	Proposed Rezoning of 5 Pine Ave	enue, Earlwood	
Proposal Summary :	The planning proposal seeks: 1.To rezone 5 Pine Avenue, Earlwood, from RE1 Public Recreation (Regional Open Space) to R2 Low Density Residential under Canterbury Local Environmental Plan (CLEP) 2012. 2.To amend four maps in the Canterbury LEP 2012 - Land Zoning map, Height of Buildings map, Lot Size map and Land Reservation Acquisition map.		
PP Number :	PP_2013_CANTE_003_00	Dop File No :	0
Proposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	01~Jul-2013	LGA covered :	Canterbury
Region :	Sydney Region East	RPA :	Canterbury City Council
State Electorate :	CANTERBURY	Section of the Act ;	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
Location Details			
Street : 5 Pi	ne Avenue		
Suburb : Earl	wood City :	Sydney	Postcode : 2206
Land Parcel :			
DoP Planning Offic	er Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Margaret Kirton	· · ·	
Contact Number :	0285754131		
Contact Email :	margaret.kirton@planning.nsw.gov	v.au	
<b>RPA Contact Detail</b>	ls		8
Contact Name :	Warren Farleigh		
Contact Number :	0297899608		
Contact Email :	warrenf@canterbury.nsw.gov.au		
DoP Project Manager Contact Details			
Contact Name :	2		
Contact Number :			
Contact Email :			
Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro South subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes

# Proposed Rezoning of 5 Pine Avenue, Earlwood

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots	1	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	1
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	The subject land has been zoned government is the acquisition aut		1970. The state
	The current owner of the land pur Canterbury Council to have the la Government acquire the land in 2	nd rezoned to residential, req	
	The State Government sent a letter negotiations stalled over which pa (containing asbestos) fill on the la	arty was responsible for remo	
	The Office of Strategic Lands (OS the land is rezoned for residential role of the OSL ceases. If a decis then the issue of the possible con new offer of acquisition would be contamination.	purposes then the acquisitio ion is made to retain the regio tamination of the site remain	n liability falls away and the onal open space zoning, s. The OSL advises that any
	Council officers do not support th appropriately used for open space	-	the subject land is most
	At the Council meeting of 9 May 2 the Department to request that it p for open space.		
	After considering the report and r be advised that Council has no ob rezoned from open space to resid	jection recommending 5 Pine	-
	Council has submitted the checkli making functions to councils. Sh that the plan making functions be	ould this planning proposal p	
External Supporting Notes :			

### Proposed Rezoning of 5 Pine Avenue, Earlwood

### Adequacy Assessment

## Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment : The objectives are clear. The planning proposal will rezone the land to R2 Low Density Residential, apply height and lot size controls through changes to the relevant maps, and remove the land from the Land Reservation Acquisition map.

### Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :The explanation of provisions is adequate. The planning proposal will amend the<br/>Canterbury LEP 2012 through changes to the Zoning map; Height of Buildings map; Lot<br/>Size map; and Land Reservation Acquisition map.

#### Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

- b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :
- \* May need the Director General's agreement

3.1 Residential Zones4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils4.3 Flood Prone Land6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

- c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes
- d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	The subject land has been identified as county or regional open space since at least 1970. It adjoins the Gough Whitlam Park which forms part of the open space corridor along the Cooks River. Council has prepared concept plans in the past that demonstrate how this land (and an adjoining parcel of open space land that previously contained a works depot) can be embellished and incorporated in the riverside parkland.
	The Office for Strategic Land (OSL) has made a written offer to the landowner to acquire the site on the basis that it is clean (a contamination study identified some filling and asbestos-related concerns). To date, the landowner has not responded to the offer from OSL. The OSL advises that any new acquisition offer would be made on the condition that the site is clean and free of contamination prior to acquisition.
Have inconsistencies with ite	ems a), b) and d) being adequately justified? <b>No</b>
If No, explain :	Direction 3.1 Residential Zones The planning proposal is not inconsistent with this direction which aims to encourage a variety and choice of housing types.
	Direction 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils The planning proposal requires consideration of this direction as it proposes an intensification of land uses on land identified as having a probability of containing acid sulfate soils. Should the planning proposal be given a gateway determination to proceed, an acid sulfate soils study assessing the appropriateness of the change of land use given the presence of acid sulfate soils would be required prior to community consultation.
	Direction 4.3 Flood Prone Land The planning proposal is inconsistent with this direction which states that a draft LEP shall not rezone land within flood planning areas from Special Use, Special Purpose, Recreation, Rural or Environmental Protection Zones to a Residential, Business, Industrial, Special Use or Special Purpose Zone.

The subject land is near the Cooks River and is shown as being within the flood planning area on the Canterbury LEP 2012 maps. Council officers advise that the land is entirely affected by 1 in 100 year flooding and partly by 1 in 20 year and 1 in 50 year flooding. Although there would be an engineering solution to constructing a dwelling on the land (as evidenced by the newly-constructed dwelling on the adjoining property which has a significantly elevated ground-floor level) it is considered that this does not justify the inconsistency with this direction. It is considered that the inconsistency with the direction cannot be justified as it is inappropriate to rezone land that is clearly within a flood planning area for residential development when such development is currently prohibited. Although only one lot of land is involved, the rezoning would result in an increase in the exposure of people and property to risk of damage from flood waters and, on these grounds, is not supported. **Direction 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes** Under this direction, should the planning proposal proceed, the approval of the Director-General is required as the planning proposal would remove an existing zoning/reservation of land for public purposes. In this case, it is recommended that the Director-General not give his approval for the removal of the reservation and that the Department continue to pursue the acquisition of the land. Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : The mapping is adequate. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes Comment : Council proposes to advertise the exhibition of the planning proposal in the local newspaper and notify state agencies and adjoining landowners. **Additional Director General's requirements** Are there any additional Director General's requirements? N/A If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes If No, comment : Proposal Assessment **Principal LEP:** Due Date : Comments in relation The principal LEP for Canterbury was made in 2012 to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteria Need for planning The planning proposal is the only way to achieve the rezoning of the land from open proposal : space to residential.

# Proposed Rezoning of 5 Pine Avenue, Earlwood

Consistency with  Given its minor nature, the proposed rezoning is not inconsistent with the strategic    strategic planning  planning framework.    framework :				
Environmental social economic impacts :	The Planning Proposal could have a significant social impact as it would remove the opportunity for the addition of this 1000sqm parcel of land to the adjoining riverside parkland, which provides a number of recreational opportunities to the local community as well as providing an important regional cycling and walking link along the Cooks River.			
	The environmental impact of the planning proposal principally relates to the future placement of building structures in the floodway and the potential for such structures to impede the flow of floodwaters.			
	Should the land remain zoned as open space, there would be an economic cost to the state government which is the acquisition authority for the land. The Office of Strategic Lands has advised it would be prepared to continue negotiations to bring the land into state government ownership should the land retain its current zoning.			
Assessment Proces	S			
Proposal type :	Minor		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 months		Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) ::	Office of Environmen	nt and Herit	tage	
Is Public Hearing by the	e PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter	r proceed ?	No		
If no, provide reasons :	open space land to C The land has been id decades.	Canterbury entified as ne and rezo	esult in the loss of the oppo Council's current stock of o county and regional open s oning it from open space to r od Prone Land.	pen space land. pace for more than 4
Resubmission - s56(2)(	b) : <b>No</b>			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional studies, if required.				
If Other, provide reason	is :			
Should a gateway determination be issued to allow the planning proposal to proceed,an acid sulphate soil study would be required prior to the exhibition of the planning proposal.				
Identify any internal consultations, if required :				
No internal consultation required				
Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No				
If Yes, reasons :				

roposed Rezoning of	5 Pine Avenue, Earlwood			
ocuments				
Document File Name		DocumentType Name	Is Public	
Planning Proposal 5 Pine Ave Earlwood.pdf		Proposal	Yes	
anning Team Recomr	nendation			
Preparation of the planni	ng proposal supported at this si	tage : Not Recommended		
S.117 directions:	3.1 Residential Zones 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land 6.2 Reserving Land for Pub	lic Purposes		
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the planning proposal not proceed. Should this planning proposal proceed, it is recommended that the plan making functions be delegated to Council.			
Supporting Reasons : The subject land is flood pr inconsistent with s117 direc The current zoning of the land nature of the land and its lo open space corridor.		rone and its proposed rezoning for residential purposes is ction 4.3 Flood Prone Land. and for open space is appropriate, given the flood prone ocation near the Gough Whitlam Reserve and the Cooks River Strategic Lands has indicated it is willing to continue land.		
Signature	DPitre	'y		
Printed Name:	WID PITME	Date: 1/8/13		